Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)
Review of Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers) CC Docket No. 01-338
Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996) CC Docket No. 96-98
Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability) CC Docket No. 98-147

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE FIBER-TO-THE-HOME COUNCIL

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The Fiber-to-the-Home (FTTH) Council is an association of companies working to accelerate the deployment of advanced broadband networks throughout America. The FTTH Council currently has 66 member companies (with four applications under review at this time) representing the entire FTTH value chain, including Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOCs), competitive local exchange companies (CLECs), municipalities, equipment manufacturers, content providers, construction and engineering companies, and electronics manufacturers. The FTTH Council's member companies are listed in Appendix A.
- 2. The FTTH Council hereby submits the following reply comments in response to the *Notice for Proposed Rulemaking* released in the above proceeding concerning the Federal Communications
 Commission's (FCC's) triennial UNE review.¹ In its original comments, the FTTH Council recommended

¹ Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Deployment of Wireline Service Offering Advanced

that the Commission should find to exempt FTTH deployments from the Section 251 unbundling, resale, and wholesale pricing rules. The FTTH Council asserted that this action would hasten the deployment of the FTTH networks necessary to satisfy consumer's demand for broadband as well as enabling neverbefore delivered advanced applications and services for the benefit of the American consumer.

II. SUMMARY

- 3. The telecommunications industry has experienced dramatic and significant changes since the FCC's last UNE proceeding. These changes have occurred for numerous reasons, some resulted from advancements in technology and services, others from alterations of business plans, and still others from the availability of capital. Yet, despite the colossal and unprecedented changes that have occurred within the industry, little has changed for the industry's two largest carrier segments with regards to their positions on the regulatory spectrum. The RBOCs have argued in their comments to the FCC that the requirement to unbundle their network has fatally hindered their ability to economically deploy digital subscriber line (DSL) services. While the CLECs have argued that they require access to as much of the RBOCs' networks as possible. These arguments, of course, are based on the assumption that antiquated, legacy networks are all the American consumer can ever hope for with regards to broadband networks and delivery of broadband services.
- 4. The FTTH Council disagrees with this assumption. However, the FTTH Council does recognize the extreme polarity of the UNE debate and also understands that any emerging next-generation network plans will become stuck in this political quagmire for a very long time to come. This delay will ultimately choke the American consumer's options for bandwidth delivery down to, at best, two indistinguishable, antiquated, bandwidth-limited, narrowband solutions riding over the same legacy networks that existed long before the first computer was even envisioned. The FTTH Council also disagrees with the perception that the best solution for the American consumer lies at either extremity of the regulatory spectrum described in the previous paragraph, i.e. the RBOCs or CLECs diametrically

Telecommunications Capability, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, 98-147, FCC 01-361 (rel. Dec. 20, 2001) ("NPRM").

opposed arguments. The FTTH Council is certain the best solution for the American consumer does not

fall at either extremity but somewhere in the middle.

5. It is the FTTH Council's position that the ideal outcome for the American consumer with

regards to the delivery of broadband networks and services is for the FCC to remove all UNE regulation

from existing and future FTTH networks. FTTH being defined as "an optical access network in which the

optical network unit is on or within the customer's premises." This position is extremely similar to recent

comments filed by Corning Incorporated.³ Corning's comments not only mirrored the FTTH Council's

comments, but also included a very detailed and compelling study on the effects of regulation on FTTH

deployments by Cambridge Strategic Management Group (CSMG). CSMG's work demonstrated using

real market-based analysis that FTTH could potentially be deployed six times more extensively under a

deregulated scenario than under today's UNE regulated environment. This analysis clearly supports the

FTTH Council's past comments to the FCC that UNE regulation is hindering the deployment of FTTH

networks. Therefore, the FTTH Council fully endorses the analysis conducted by CSMG and whole-

heartily urges the FCC to make this document critical reference material as the Commission determines

the future of UNE regulation in regards to existing and future FTTH networks.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of our members,

THE FTTH COUNCIL

Doug Wrede

President FTTH Council PO Box 195 Corning, NY 14830 www.ftthcouncil.org

info@ftthcouncil.org

² www.ftthcouncil.org, Telecommunications Definition List

³ See Comments of Corning, Inc. in Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, and 98-147.

3

ATTACHMENT A

Listing of the FTTH Council member companies:

3M Marconi
Adesta Communications MCSi

AFL Telecommunications Motorola BCS

Agere NEC Eluminant Technologies
Alcatel Neptec Optical Solutions

AllOptic Nexans
Alpha Technologies OFS Fitel

American Power Conversion Oki Network Technologies

Arris Optical Solutions

Asset Analytics Orius Corp
Atlantic Engineering Group Paceon

Bechtel Telecommunications Packetfront Sweden AB

Bristol Virginia Utilities Philips Digital Networks/Crypto Tec Division

BroadbandConnect, Inc. Pirelli
Broadcom Corp PurOptix

Charles Machine Works Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

Chelan County Public Utility District No. 1 SandStream Communications & Entertainment

Cisco Systems SBC Communications

CommScope Science Applications International Corp

CopperCom Scientific Atlanta

Corecess Sumitomo Electric Lightwave

Corning Incorporated TDK Corporation

Dalton Utilities Team Fishel

DynamicCity Metronet Advisors Telework Consortium

Eagle Broadband Tetra Tech Communications

Essex Corp. Tropic Networks

FiberCore TVC Communications

FTTX Systems Tyco Electronics

Gould Fiber Optics Verizon

IMC Networks Volex Inc.

Irdeto Access Wave7Optics

iWired Worldwide Packets

Luminent Inc. Zero dB